

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/709,491	0/709,491 05/10/2004		Wanchai Ratanasirigulchai	22.1539	3490		
35204	7590	08/18/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER		
		RESERVOIR CO	DANG, HOANG C				
14910 AIRL ROSHAROI		<del></del>	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
	•			3672	·-		
			DATE MAILED: 08/18/2006				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				Applicant(s)					
	Office Action Course			RATANASIRIGULO	CHAI ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
		Hoang Dang		672					
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover	sheet with the cor	respondence add	dress				
WHIII - Exte afte - If No - Faili Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Diamsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for the true to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS CO 36(a). In no event, howe will apply and will expire a cause the application to	OMMUNICATION. ever, may a reply be timely SIX (6) MONTHS from the b become ABANDONED (	r filed mailing date of this co					
Status									
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 Ju	une 2006							
		action is non-fina	al.						
· —	,			ecution as to the	merits is				
,—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposit	tion of Claims	,	,						
	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-4,9,12-20,22-24 and 26-31</u> is/are pending in the application.								
٠/٤٠	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1,13-20,22-24 and 26-31</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
	Claim(s) 2-4, 9 and 12 is/are rejected.								
7)									
•	Claim(s)is/are objected to.  Claim(s) <u>1,13-20,22-24 and 26-31</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
		to restriction and	or election require	mont.					
_	ion Papers								
	The specification is objected to by the Examine								
10)	0)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
—	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)[	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the	attached Office Ad	ction or form PT	O-152.				
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d	d) or (f).					
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been rece	ived.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents ha	ive been received	in this National	Stage				
	application from the International Bureau	u (PCT Rule 17.2	(a)).						
* ;	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified co	pies not received.						
Attachmer	nt(s)								
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		Interview Summary (P						
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Notice of Informal Pate		-152)				
	er No(s)/Mail Date		Other:		· - <b>-</b> /				

7

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 2. Claims 2, 3, 4, 9 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Parrott et al (6,336,408) alone or in view of Riccitiello et al (US 3,730,891).

Parrott et al disclose the invention as claimed (see column 4, lines 3-10 and 60-64; column 1, lines 5-15; column 2, lines 6-18 and 62-66; and column 3, lines 27-37 and 53-58) except that the heat-insulating layer 34 of Parrott et al does not comprise intumescent material. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the insulating layer 34 of Parrott et al with a layer of intumescent material as claimed because the use of an intumescent coating as heat or fire insulating means is well known as evidenced by Riccitiello et al (see column1, lines 38-41).

### Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 6/2/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Contrary to applicant's argument, Parrott et al clearly teach protecting heat-sensitive components of a perforating gun with layer(s) or coating(s) of heat insulating materials to reduce heat conduction (see column 4, lines 3-10 and 60-64; column 1, lines 5-15; column 2, lines 6-18 and 62-66; and column 3, lines 27-37 and 53-58). Riccitiello et al evidence that the use of an intumescent material as a heat insulating material is well known. It would have been obvious to use an intumescent material in Parrott et al because it has been held to be within the general skill

Art Unit: 3672

of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. *In re Leshin*, 125 USPQ 416.

#### **Conclusion**

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hoang Dang whose telephone number is 571-272-7028. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:15-5:45 Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Bagnell can be reached on 571-272-6999. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/709,491

Art Unit: 3672

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Hoang Dang Primary Examiner Art Unit 3672 Page 4